Problem Statement  
  
Recent research on Performance Enhancing Drugs has addressed the controversy of where to draw the line. That being, banning all substances in professional sports, or putting different restrictions on certain drugs over one other, based on their athletic effect to the human body. The main assumption of this controversey and topic is that only the hard substances are banned, and that some substances don't come with higher risks or other effects. This assumption has generated important research, the emphasis on performance enhancing drugs have meant that few studies have considered a new view on the issue, an issue in wihch I will explore in this article.  
  
Lit Review  
  
Some researchers have suggested that every since sports have grown worldwide and have became a key role in some humans life, athletes have tried to gain and improve their abilities against all of their competitors and opponents. Everybody wants to be the best. For example, in a recent ESPN interview with baseball star, Alex Rodriguez, he stated that he felt pressured. He was young and felt like he had something to prove to the league and everyone who follows baseball. He had said he felt like he had to be the best. As this research has shown us just one reason why one would take action and violate the rules and allegations, opportunities of other reasons are still in the air.  
  
Proposed Response  
  
In order to address the problem of performance enhancing drugs, I will draw on the following theories which others have used before me. Applying this approach is appropriate because this controversy plays a big role in professional sports worldwide. To do this, I will first provide information about recent/past cases addressing this topic, then show the side of why it should be completely banned, then I will show the counterargument of why it should be thought of and looked at more thoroughly depending on what the substance abused is.